

IRO Certificate #4599

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

June 19, 2002

Re: IRO Case # M2-02-0665-01

Texas Workers' Compensation Commission:

___ has been certified as an independent review organization (IRO) and has been authorized to perform independent reviews of medical necessity for the Texas Worker's Compensation Commission (TWCC). Texas HB. 2600, Rule133.308 effective January 1, 2002, allows a claimant or provider who has received an adverse medical necessity determination from a carrier's internal process, to request an independent review by an IRO.

In accordance with the requirement that TWCC assign cases to certified IRO's, TWCC assigned this case to ___ for an independent review. ___ has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. For that purpose, ___ received relevant medical records, any documents obtained from parties in making the adverse determination, and any other documents and/or written information submitted in support of the appeal.

The case was reviewed by a physician who is Board Certified in Neurological Surgery. He or she has signed a certification statement attesting that no known conflicts of interest exist between him or her and any of the treating physicians or providers, or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to referral to ___ for independent review. In addition, the certification statement further attests that the review was performed without bias for or against the carrier, medical provider, or any other party to this case.

The ___ reviewer who reviewed this case has determined that, based on the medical records provided, the requested care is not medically necessary. Therefore, ___ agrees with the adverse determination regarding this case. The reviewer's decision and the specific reasons for it, is as follows:

History

This case involves a now 61-year-old female who was declared injured on ___. The patient was diagnosed with carpal tunnel syndrome and physical therapy was tried without help.. Carpal tunnel surgery was performed on the left side on 3/14/01. The patient improved, but continued to have trouble on the right side. Carpal tunnel surgery on the right side was performed on 10/30/01. As of 3/16/02 she was having negative tinel sign and negative phalen sign. She was doing well, but still had slight weakness in her left hand.

Requested Service(s)
Work Hardening Program

Decision

I agree with the carrier's decision to deny the requested work hardening program.

Rationale

The only rehabilitation that this patient needs to pursue can be accomplished with a home exercise program pursuing the activities that will be required in her employment. If indeed home exercise is not successful in returning this patient to her pre-injury status, then reevaluation regarding continued median nerve compromise needs to be pursued. Work hardening would not be of benefit.

This medical necessity decision regarding the requested treatment by an Independent Review Organization is deemed to be a Commission decision and order.

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision, a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **10** (ten) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to:
Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Worker's Compensation Commission, P O Box 40669,
Austin, TX 78704-0012. **A copy of this decision should be attached to the request.**

The party appealing this decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute (Commission Rule 133.308 (t)(2)).

Sincerely,

President